

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

HARRIETT MITCHELL, JASON
SUMMERS, and JOSEPH ADAMS,
individually, on behalf of others similarly
situated, and on behalf of the general
public,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CORELOGIC VALUATION
SOLUTIONS, INC., and DOES 1-10,
inclusive

Defendant(s).

Case No. 8:17-cv-02274-DOC-DFMx

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR CONDITIONAL
CERTIFICATION UNDER 29
U.S.C. § 216(b) [108]**

Hon. David O. Carter

1 Plaintiffs Harriett Mitchell, Jason Summers, and Joseph Adams have moved
2 for conditional certification of the following collective class pursuant to the Fair
3 Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b):

4 All persons who are or have been employed by Defendant under the
5 titles Appraiser, Staff Appraiser, Valuation Solutions Appraiser,
6 Residential Appraiser, and other similar positions in the United States
7 within three years prior to this action’s filing date through the date of
8 final disposition of this action (collectively, “Staff Appraisers”).

9 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Conditional Certification came before the Court for
10 hearing on December 10, 2018 in the District Court for the Central District of
11 California, the Honorable David O. Carter presiding. The Court has reviewed the
12 materials submitted by the Parties and has heard arguments presented by counsel at
13 the hearing.

14 Based upon the pleadings, the submissions of all Parties, including the
15 declarations of 11 current and former Staff Appraisers, including the three named
16 Plaintiffs and opt-in Plaintiffs and the information presented at hearing, the Court
17 finds that Plaintiffs have satisfied the fairly lenient standard for conditional
18 certification under Section 216(b) of the FLSA. *See Luviano v. Multi Cable, Inc.*,
19 2017 WL 3017195, at *8 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 2017); *Pfohl v. Farmers Ins. Group.*,
20 2004 WL 554834 at *2 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 1, 2004)). Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS**
21 conditional certification of the proposed FLSA collective class defined above (the
22 “Collective Class”) and orders that Notice shall be issued to potential members of
23 the Collective Class.

24 The Court hereby approves the Proposed Notice of Collective Action and
25 Consent Form filed as Exhibits E and F to the Declaration of Bryan J. Schwartz (and
26 attached hereto as Exhibits A and B). The Court orders that Notice shall be provided
27 to the Collective Class as follows:

